



Electrical Driven Motions in Rotational Dynamics of Colloidal Platelets in Nematic Liquid Crystal

C. J. ...^{1,2}, T. ...^{1,2}, ...^{1,3,*}

¹Department of Physics and Liquid Crystals Materials Research Center, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, Colorado 30309, USA

²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Department of Physics and Astronomy, and California NanoSystems Institute, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

³Renewable and Sustainable Energy Institute, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, Colorado 30309, USA

(Received ... 2014, ...)

Abstract: ... A ... B ...

Fig. 3(a) shows the results of the numerical analysis for the case of $\epsilon = 11$. The results are compared with the theoretical results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$. The results for $\epsilon = 11$ are shown in Fig. 3(b). The results for $\epsilon = 10$ are shown in Fig. 3(c). The results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$ are compared with the theoretical results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$.

✓ $\leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$

The results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$ are compared with the theoretical results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$. The results for $\epsilon = 11$ are shown in Fig. 3(b). The results for $\epsilon = 10$ are shown in Fig. 3(c). The results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$ are compared with the theoretical results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$.

The results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$ are compared with the theoretical results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$. The results for $\epsilon = 11$ are shown in Fig. 3(b). The results for $\epsilon = 10$ are shown in Fig. 3(c). The results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$ are compared with the theoretical results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$.

The results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$ are compared with the theoretical results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$. The results for $\epsilon = 11$ are shown in Fig. 3(b). The results for $\epsilon = 10$ are shown in Fig. 3(c). The results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$ are compared with the theoretical results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$.

The results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$ are compared with the theoretical results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$. The results for $\epsilon = 11$ are shown in Fig. 3(b). The results for $\epsilon = 10$ are shown in Fig. 3(c). The results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$ are compared with the theoretical results for $\epsilon = 11$ and $\epsilon = 10$.

41(r) \rightarrow -15.676-1.159 \rightarrow D(r) \rightarrow 9(-6(,)) \rightarrow 20.3314 \rightarrow D(J) /F5 \rightarrow 2942 \rightarrow D(J) /F7 \rightarrow (,)-941(,)2934.7()3954.7 ()-32521(r

()
 ()
 ()