

Generating the Hopf Fibration Experimentally in Nematic Liquid Crystals

Bryan Gin-ge Chen,^{1,2} Paul J. Ackerman,³ Gareth P. Alexander,⁴ Randall D. Kamien,² and Ivan I. Smalyukh³

¹Instituut-Lorentz, Universiteit Leiden, Postbus 9506, 2300 RA Leiden, Netherlands

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
Randall D.Kami155ySmalyukh

$\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^2)$, while a point boundary, i.e., a hole in the surface, carries a Z charge associated with $\pi_2(\mathbb{R}P^2)$. To illustrate, the surface Σ_z for a toron is shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). It is the set of all points in the material where the director is perpendicular to \hat{z} and forms a surface that connects the two point defects at the “top” and “bottom” of the toron.

The surfaces, however, do not carry enough information to determine the point charges. In order to capture this

There is an important constraint on the colors that may

$Q/U = \tan(2\theta)$, where J is the amplitude of the signal. Here n is an exponent depending on the imaging modality; $n = 4$ for the case of fluorescence confocal microscopy [20,21], $n = 6$ for 3PEF-PM with fluorescence detection without a polarizer [18], and $n = 8$ for coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering polarizing microscopy with linearly polarized detection collinear with the polarization of excitation light. We then assume that we can shift and normalize the calculated I from the data, so that it takes value from 0 to 1 and the n th root of I gives us $\sin\theta$. The shift is justified in this case, as we expect that away from the toron the director is actually normal to the top and bottom surfaces, along the surface normal, and hence $\theta = 0$ there. The angle θ then gives us the angle of the polarization projected to the xy plane, and we can reconstruct the director \hat{n} from θ and ϕ .

To go from this to the colored surface numerically, we reflect the director field so that it lies in the upper half of the sphere; i.e., if $\cos\theta < 0$, we take $\hat{n} \rightarrow -\hat{n}$. Using PARAVIEW [19], we then view the isocontour with n close to zero. Though one might want to take a slice with n zero, the nonorientability of the line field makes it difficult to exclude the artificial ‘‘branch cuts’’ where any reconstruction assigns adjacent grid points to different branches of \hat{n} , for example, when \hat{n} happens to be adjacent to a data point of $-\hat{n}$. The downside of our approach is that what should be one surface at $n = 0$ is actually two nearby surfaces $n = \pm$

were supported in part by NSF DMR05-47230 and a gift from L. J. Bernstein. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF PHY11-25915. G. P. A., B. G. C., R. D. K., and I. I. S. thank the KITP for their hospitality while this work was being prepared. B. G. C. thanks the hospitality of the Boulder School in Condensed Matter and Materials Physics, where some of this work was completed.

[12] H(i.4059TD[(12)]-628.2(H(i.4059TD[(12]8To2))Tj1pi7.1(P)-6(16l4uk

-
- [1] M. Kléman, *Points, Lines, and Walls* (Wiley, New York, 1983).
 - [2] N. D. Mermin, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **51**, 591 (1979).
 - [3] J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, *J. Phys. C* **6**, 1181 (1973).
 - [4] D. R. Nelson, *Nano Lett.* **2**, 1125 (2002).
 - [5] T. H. R. Skyrme, *Nucl. Phys.* **31**, 556 (1962).
 - [6] N. S. Manton and P. M. Sutcliffe, *Topological Solitons* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2004).
 - [7] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 146802 (2005).
 - [8] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 405 (1982).
 - [9] H. Hopf, *Math. Ann.* **104**, 637 (1931).
 - [10] Y. Bouligand, *J. Phys. (Paris)* **35**, 959 (1974).
 - [11] Y. Bouligand, B. Derrida, V. Poénaru, Y. Pomeau, and G. Toulouse, *J. Phys. (Paris)* **39**, 863 (1978).